



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 09/707,079 | 11/06/2000 | David Hose | 42365-00380 | 9121 | |
| 7 | 7590 12/18/2001 | | | | |
| Kent A. Fischmann, Esq. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 3151 S. Vaughn Way Suite 411 | | | BANKS HAROLD, I | BANKS HAROLD, MARSHA DENISE | |
| Aurora, CO 8 | 30014 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2682 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/18/2001 | DATE MAILED: 12/18/2001 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

.

Application No. 09/707,079

Applicant(s)

HOSE

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Marsha D. Banks-Harold

Art Unit 2682



| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Period for Reply | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic | FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed | | | |
| If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days be considered timely. | | | | |
| | statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any | | | |
| Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | • | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This act | tion is non-final. | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa | except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>40-75</u> | is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideratio | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) | is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>40-75</u> | is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) | is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claims | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar | e objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: aD approved bD disapproved. | | | |
| 12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). | | | | |
| a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of: | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have | | | | |
| 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority deposition from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | |
| 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | | | |
| 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| 17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>5, 6</u> | 20) Other: | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/707,709

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Claims 40-75 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of copending Application Nos. 09/119.493 and 09/411,446. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are all drawn towards determining location based services.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/707,709 Page 3

Art Unit: 2682

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

2. Claims 40-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bhatia (U.S. Patent Number 5,930,699) and DeLorme (U.S. Patent Number 5,948,040).

Regarding *claims 40-75*, Bhatia discloses an address retrieval system. In addition, Bhatia discloses support for the receiving, obtaining, identifying, storing, prioritizing and outputting steps, as exhibited in FIGS. 1-2.

Regarding *claims 40-75*, DeLorme et al. discloses a travel reservation information and planning system. In addition, DeLorme discloses support for the receiving, obtaining, identifying, storing, prioritizing and outputting steps, as exhibited in FIGS. 9A and 9B.

Art Unit: 2682

Conclusion

3. Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 11, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marsha D. Banks-Harold whose telephone number is (703) 305-4379. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin, can be reached on (703) 308-6739. The fax phone number for Technology Center 2600 is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

MDB-H/mdB-h December 14, 2001

MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Marsh Bouls Heurer